

1 KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP  
 2 William M. Goodman (SBN 61305)  
 3 wgoodman@kasowitz.com  
 4 101 California Street, Suite 2050  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 421-6140  
 Facsimile: (415) 398-5030

5 Attorneys for Defendants  
 6  
 7

8 **UNITED DISTRICT COURT**  
 9 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 10 **(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)**

11 CLAIRE C. HAGGARTY, individually and on  
 behalf of all others similarly situated,  
 12

13 Plaintiff,  
 14

15 vs.  
 16

17 STRYKER ORTHOPAEDICS (aka STRYKER  
 ORTHOPEDICS; aka STRYKER  
 ORTHOPEDICS, INC.); HOWMEDICA  
 OSTEONICS CORPORATION; STRYKER  
 CORPORATION; and STRYKER SALES  
 CORPORATION,  
 18

19 Defendants.  
 20

Case No: CV-08-01609-JSW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER CONTINUING DEADLINE FOR  
 DEFENDANTS TO FILE A REPLY TO  
 PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO  
 DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS  
 FROM JULY 14, 2008 TO JULY 28, 2008**

21 **STIPULATION**  
 22

23 Plaintiff Claire C. Haggarty and Defendants Stryker Orthopaedics, Howmedica Osteonics  
 Corporation, Stryker Corporation and Stryker Sales Corporation submit the following Stipulation  
 24 and Proposed Order continuing the deadline for Defendants to file a Reply to Plaintiff's  
 25 Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss from July 14, 2008 to July 28, 2008.  
 26

27 ///  
 28 ///

**RECITALS**

- A. Whereas, on May 16, 2008, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss;
- B. Whereas, on June 18, 2008, the Court entered a briefing schedule requiring Plaintiff to file any opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss no later than July 7, 2008, and Defendants to file any Reply to Plaintiff's opposition no later than July 14, 2008;
- C. Whereas, on July 7, 2008, Plaintiff filed her opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss;
- D. Whereas, Defendants seek an extension of time to file their Reply to Plaintiff's opposition to address complex legal issues raised in Plaintiff's Opposition;
- E. Whereas, the Parties all consent to continuing the deadline for Defendants to file a Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss from July 14, 2008 to July 28, 2008;
- F. Whereas, Defendants' motion to dismiss is currently scheduled to be heard by the Court on September 26, 2008;

BASED THEREON, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties as follows: The deadline for Defendants to file a Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss currently set for July 14, 2008 is continued to **July 28, 2008.**

**SO STIPULATED**

DATED: July 10, 2008

**COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY**

By: /s/ Frank M. Pitre  
**FRANK M. PITRE**  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff Claire C. Haggarty*

1  
2 DATED: July 10, 2008  
3

**KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP**

4 By: /s/ William M. Goodman  
5 WILLIAM M. GOODMAN  
6 Attorneys for Defendants Stryker Orthopaedics;  
7 Howmedica Osteonics Corporation; Stryker  
8 Corporation; and Stryker Sales Corporation  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1                   **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2       Having read and reviewed the foregoing Stipulation, **IT IS ORDERED THAT:**  
3  
4      The deadline for Defendants to file a Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to  
5      Dismiss currently set for July 14, 2008 is continued to **July 28, 2008**.

6                   **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7                   DATED:

---

8                   JEFFREY S. WHITE  
9                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28